EXHIBIT D

Representative O'Sullivan, "Administration of the Law." Congressional Record 65:6 (1924) p. H5900

that they are the exceptions. I will take an example and stand by it any lewly, inconspicuous, humble immigrant—I will take the average Jewish immigrant. Where will you find him? You will find him in the factories, you will find him in the shop, you will find him hack of the pushcart, you will find him delay the most laborious work from the moment he lands here until he is faild away. What is he doing it for? He is doing it because he has come here for one greut purpose, and that is to give his children an opportunity which was denied to him and his ancestors for centuries. This humble Jewish immigrant, and he is typical of 1969 out of 1,000, kisses the hand the moment he gets here, thanks food for his arrival here, and it is one uninterrupted, continuous life of awast and labor from that moment to the very end. His children know no other land, owe allegiance to no other flag, love no other country but the United States. I speak for the Jewish immigrant because I have the honor of representing a great Jewish district, and I will say that there are no more loyal people in this country than the Jewish immigrants and their children. The children of the Jewish immigrant, given an apportunity of an education, they will take their place in the community; and in every city where Jewish immigrants have settled I will show you development, progress, business industry as the result of their labor, determination, and efforts.

The Italian immigrants—left us take the most humble again

have settled I will show you development, progress, business industry as the result of their labor, determination, and efforts.

The Italian immigrants—let us take the most humble again as example—leaving a country, unlike the Jew, a country that was his for centuries, where the sky is clear and the climate good, where he is surrounded by beauty that has been his for generations, leaves that home for the same landable reasen that prompted the Jewish immigrant. To come here he sells his little piece of land, his little home, and knocks at the door at Ellis Island for admission. He lands, and where do you find him? You seen find him with the pick and shovel building our railroads, digging our canals, boring our subways, or in the depths of our mines. He saves money, you say. Yes; saves money and saves money so that he may send to the other side for his wife and babies or for his bride who is awniting him, and he establishes his little home, he builds his little house—you show me the house of an Italian laborer, no matter how humble, and I will show you every place where there is space enough for one seed a beautiful flower; I will show you every place where there is space enough for one seed a beautiful flower; I will show you that Italian laborer on his day of rest, with his cost oft, working around his home to heautify it—it is his only home and he wants to make it a real home. Come to our schools in New York and you will see hundreds of thousands of little black-headed sons of Romans poring over their n, b, c's in the grade schools; in the high schools preparing themselves for the duties and responsibilities of American citizenship. Is it fall; is it maply, is it accurate to paint an instance here and there out of a population running into millions of a crime committed and hold that such a case is typical of the imagration of an entire race? The Croatians, hard working, honest, in dustrious, you will find in the naines all over the country, and what better example of assimilation than that of the Croatian and

lated.

Gentlemen, you will have to find some other justification for this law. I do not hesitate to say why I am against it. I am against it because it is unscientific, because it does not fit with the economic condition of the country, because it is the result of narrow-mindedness and bigotry, and because it is inspired, prompted, and urged by influences who dave not come out in the open, by the influences who have no intelligent information of conditions, but who have a fixed obsession on Anglo-Saxon superiority, who have an obsession as to religious dominance, and who believe that it is proper to take vengeance upon these humble, harmless, helpless inmigrants. In the course of the work allocated by themselves to their country.

I feel sorry for them. As was stated by the very gentlemen who are sponsoring this bill in a boastful spirit, the districts they represent have no immigration problem to use their own phrase. If these people could only see, could only hear, could only know, they would understand. If they could observe in

an unbiased manner the immigrant in his labors, in his work, their children in their studies, their development and progress, their devotion to the country, all of this prejudice and fear would disappear and how much happier we would be in this country if we could abolish forever religious differences, racial hatreds, and concentrate all our efforts and reconsecrate ourselves as one people, regardless of race or origin to service and united loyalty to our country.

While the Jewish immigration is not charged to any country because it comes from various parts of Europe, I think it can be approximately located. We have no statistics account for country of origin only. That would leave us entirely to the immigration records which sometimes classify immigrants as Hebrew "nationality." From my own experience at Ellis Island I find that this classification is incorrect owing to many Jewish immigrants being classified as Austrian, Hungarian, Russian, or Polish. The Census Burean in the 1920 census compiled statistics in accordance to "mother tongue of the foreign white stock " and from that it will be seen that 1,691,820 were classified as "Yiddish and Hebrew mother tongue," with a total of 2,043,613. Of these 1,091,820 were foreign born, classified principally as follows:

Russia	761 751
America	99, 279
Rumania.	87, 287
England	16,964
Germany.	3 100
Canada	2.687

The remainder being scattered among foreign countries, principally, I believe, Jewish lumnigrants from the above-named countries who emigrated first to another country.

principally. I believe, Jewish immigrants from the above-named countries who emigrated first to another country.

Several explanations have been offered why the 1900 census is now taken and why the 1910 census was taken in the original quota law. The truth of it, gentlemen, is that the 1910 census was taken because at the time the original act was approved on May 19, 1921, it was the last United States census and the only census that should have been taken. You will find that the 1920 census was transmitted by the Director of the Census to the Department of Commerce on November 21, 1921, the census containing the aumber of foreign born in this country. The census of 1910 was not arbitrarily taken, as some might have been led to believe. When the original set was enacted it was the last census. Since then the 1920 census has been made available. As I said just a moment ago, the percentage or whatever percentage you decide should be based on the last available census, namely, 1920, as was done in the original set in 1921.

I pointed out, gentlemen, in my remarks Saturday when the rule was under consideration that the doors are left wide open on the Mexican line. I stated—and no one dares contradic, because the report of the Commissioner General of Immigration shows that (I),000 Mexicans entered the United States last year, also that the Secretary of Labor has publicly stated that an equal number unlawfully entered. It is not disputed that several hundred thousands came to in 1917 and 1918 and that they have not left the United States but are going from place to place where chean labor is desired and where manufacturers or growers are specially culioused to want to exploit this peon labor at the expense of natives, yes, and of decent immigrants who come here to make their home and want to live up to the American standard, so that as long as the proponents of this measure permit the intolevable condition of the exploitation of cheap Mexican labor at starvation wages then they can not be learn to say that they are s

Let me point out some of the testimony given before the com-mittee and received with a great deal of interest by the com-mittee. Mr. W. R. Satterfield appeared. He stated he was not a real-estate promoter but interested in the development of the "alluvial territory along the Mississippi River and its tribu-taries." To give you an idea first of this gentleman's attitude toward transgrants, he states, and you will find his testimony on page 1052 of the hearing:

We believe there has been too much of the seum of Durope, so to speak, to use the original expression, coming into this country.

Then, again, he says, on page 1057:

The reason we have been advocating a selective form of immigration is because we made "a survey of these birds that come over here." If you will pardon that common expression, to see if we could not induce them.

I mention these expressions to show the attitude of this gen-tleman toward these very people that he seeks to bring to his "allowial territory" and tells the committee he is not a real-

estate promoter. This very "scum," these "birds" that he refers to ought to be induced, he asks, to go out to his ter-

Mr. VAILE. Will the gentleman yield?
Mr. LAGUARDIA. Yes.
Mr. VAILE. Does the gentleman know how far he got with that proposition?
Mr. LaGUARDIA. I am telling you.
Mr. VAILE. Let use tell the gentleman that the southern Members of the committee repudiated that proposition with the same scorn they would repudiate a proposition to let in unushallable people from anywhere else.

Mr. LaGUARDIA. But he was in favor of your hill, nevertheless.

Mr. LaGUARDIA. But he was in favor of your bill, nevertheless.

The negroes can not do the work, continues Mr. Satterfield, and because the negroes, he claims, will not till the undeveloped ground. Then, the witness continues, he does not want to draw from other sources of the country, although later on he says an attempt was made and he just could not get people to go down to his afluvial territory after "combing the country." What does he offer them? He offers them to go down, mark you this is not a land scheme at all, says he, to buy the land; he will finance it says he and he wants \$10 an acre for this undeveloped land and he holds this "purchase" for 14 years at \$10 an acre. In other words, he wants the immigrant to go there, stay on his land for 14 years, pay \$140 a acre for absolutely untilled, undeveloped land which the poor immigrant himself has to develop, and by the time be has developed the land at the end of 14 years he will be so much in hock, as every farmer in this country knows, that what he will have to do is to pick up, go to some place near by, leaving the fruit of 14 years of inhor of himself and his family to this generous Mr. Satterfield and his corporation. Why, this offer is so attractive that he is unable to find anyone in this country who will accept his generosity. He states on page 1054 of the hearing, "We are sending out constant literature to try to get people in the Southland to ruise cotton," and yet he can not get them, notwithstanding that he paints a pretty picture as to the possibilities of cotton and the high price of cotton in the future, although some of the very gentlemen from the Southland who will vote for this bill will take every opportunity to protest, and properly so against conditions in the Cotton Belf and the need of doing something for the cotton grower.

Again to show the attitude of this generous Mr. Satterfield:

If there is a Grock—

Again to show the attitude of this generous Mr. Satterfield:

If there is a Greek-

He says at page 1084-

except in the restairant business, or a few of those dark-complexioned persons, we do not know it; and there are a few of these Italians down there that we commonly called "dagoes." If our white folks mix with them. I do not know it.

What a splendid type of man to come forward and suggest a colonization scheme to make landowners out of the immigrant. A swell chance the poor, unfortunate immigrant who falls victim to the claws of this man with hatred in his heart, seeking to get rich on the labor and exploitation of the poor immigrant. He wants to stock up this land with Nordics; and on behalf of the Nordics I protest against any such land scheme—any such promotion scheme—and I tell you right now if you are friends of the Nordics you will prevent them from becoming the victims of Mr. Satterfield and his gang of exploiters of human beings.

Beference is made from time to time concerning the statistics

becoming the victims of Mr. Satterfield and his gang of exploiters of buman beings.

Beference is made from time to time concerning the statistics of allems in our insane asylums. Gentlemen, when you refer to statistics in an insane asylums. Gentlemen, when you refer to statistics in an insane asylums. Gentlemen, when you refer to statistics in an insane asylums and you charge that to immigration. I say with all due deference and respect that you do not know what you are talking about. It is true we have aliens in our insane asylums in New York, and you have them in other asylums in other parts of the country, but, gentlemen, they are not there because they are aliens. If they were at home and never came to this country they would not be in an insane asylum. If instead of aliens we had to draw entirely from your native Nordic stock to put in our factories, in our mills, in our shops, under the river-boring tunnels, the toil of the industry of modern industry under our production system, just as your toil of death and cusualties of war, you would have an equal number in those tusane asylums of your preferred Nordic stock. It is the pressure, the tension, of modern machine industry to which human behazs are subjected that accounts for the number of lineane. It is the constant, continuous go, go, of your big industrial centers that breaks the human system. Do not believe that in stopping immigration from Italy and Rumania and Itussia that you are going to stop insanity. As long as

under the present competitive system we use human beings as cogs in a machine we will have our insane asylums occupied. No greater mistake has ever been made than to charge that cost up to immigration. Charge it up where it belongs—to the inevitable casualties and cost of modern industry, competitive system, and the existing economic condition under which we are living.

inevitable casualties and cost of modern industry, competitive system, and the existing economic condition under which we are living.

Let me give you a few statistics as a proof of the industry and thrift of the alien. Let us not take Atlanta or the insane asylum, and I believe that a careful, honest, unbiased analysis of the figures of either of these institutions would wipe away entirely the conclusions presented by the sponsors of this measure, but let us take the records of the postal savings banks. Surely those figures are not juggled. I have before me the annual report of the operation of the Postal Savings System for 1923 as contained in the letter from the Postal savings from the Speaker of the House of Representatives dated December 6, 1923, Sixty-eighth Congress, first session, document 102. The gentleman from South Carolina [Mr. Branks], who is as keen and able a Representative as there is in this House and for whom I have the greatest admiration, took the floor last Saturday in defense of this restrictive immigration measure and finished in an eloquent expression that in his district he did not have one-half of 1 per cent of alien population. The gentleman from South Carolina hails from the city of Alken in the postal savings system of the United States, To-day the gentleman from Chattaneous brought out the same point, and we find there are just 22 depositors in the postal savings sustem. Mr. Casaz who comes from Lima, Ohio, and in the city of Lima there are just 18 depositors having funds in the Postal Savings System and the energetic whip, the gentleman from Anderson, Ind. [Mr. Yasrat], who made a passionate appeal for restrictive immigration a few days ago, we find that he has 31 depositors in his city putting their savings with the postal savings System. Now, along comes the gentleman from California [Mr. Rakks], a member of the committee, and he too refers to these terrible aliens, and in the city of Alturas, Calif., from whence the gentleman comes, there is just one depositor with \$10 deposit

and among the foreign population of the city of Denver who make up the list.

Now, let us take New York City, my little fown with its terrible, tremendous foreign population. When you mention it you gasp and you refer to it as the victous evil that you are seeking to obliterate. Why, my town has 186,086 depositors with a total deposit in Uncle Sam's bank of \$56,486,528, out of a total savings in the entire United States of \$131,671,300 [applause], and if you will take the centers where you have large foreign populations and add them up you will see how much is left in the territories where there is no foreign population and who are hounding their Congressman to pass this victous law. From an inspection of the list from New York City you will find that it is the humble Jew, Italian, Pole, Russian, and Greek immigrant bringing his savings to Uncle Sam because he trusts him, because he knows him, because he loves him, and because he is here to stay. These savings represent the sweat of their brow, the fruit of their honest tabor, their part and contribution to the wealth, greatness, and the welfare of their adopted country.

I am willing to take the savings not only in Uncle Sam's savings bank but the savings banks generally, and show you where you have big foreign populations, you have big savings deposits. You tell us that these immigrants are a drain on the country; that they send money home. How contrary to American spirit, to real American generosity, it is to throw into their face the few pennies of their hard-carped money which they send to an aged parent or to a poor relative. The figures of the postal savings bank in Uncle Sam's hank are figures which belie that statement and show entirely the contrary to be true, that these millions of newly arrived inmigrants not only contribute to the country fileir labor, but use the fruits of their labor for the benefit of the entire country by putting it in these institutions.

Mr. WATKINS. Mr. Chairman, will the sentleman yield?

Mr. LaGUARDIA. Yes.

Mr. WATKINS. They are contributing something else, too, the Atiorney General' says that despite the fact that the foreign born is less than 11 per cent, they contribute more than 50 per cent of the criminal record in this country.

Air, Laguardia, If the gentleman had been in this Chamber when I began my remarks he would have heard my statement on that point. If you take the average, you will find that it is nothing like as much as 50 per cent. While that it is nothing like as much as 50 per cent. While that is suggested by the gentleman from Oregon, let me say that the way to assimilate, the way to teach Americanism, is by setting a good example. You talk about keeping out talk about keeping out talk about keeping out both in the country.

Our form of government is as perfect a form of government as imperfect human beings can live under. The way to keep out indicalism and boishevism is to put honest, decent officials in office, and kick out officials who betray the confidence of the people. That is the way to do it. Set a good example to these now Americans. [Applause.] Let us end these intreds, these prejudices: let us restore to the people the kind of representative government the liberty-loving framers of our Constitution infended, and drive from public office men who have violated the trinst given them. By all means let our conduct on the floor of this House be an example and inspiration to every newly arrived immigrant of American fair play, American manifood, and the spirit of brotherhood and love which our Republic typifies.

Mr. Laguardia. Yes.

our Republic Typines.
Mr. OLIVER of New York. Will the gentleman yield?
Mr. LaGUARDIA. Yes.
Mr. OLIVER of New York. May I say to the gentleman, in regard to Oregon, that the district court of appeals recently declared un-American a law passed by referendum in the great State of Oregon, where our teacher on Americanism comes

deciared un-American a law passed by referendum in the great State of Oregon, where our teacher on Americanism comes from.

Mr. LaGUARDIA. That is correct. The forceful gentleman from Temessee [Mr. McReynous] criticized a meeting I attended. Gentlemen, that meeting was public; everybody could come to that meeting, and at that meeting everybody's face was risible. [Applause.]

Yes; the opponents of this bill were directly charged with being influenced by the aliens in our respective districts. It has been repeatedly stated by the sponsors of this bill that pressure was being brought to bear by the foreign-born citizens. I say in reply to that that the foreign-born citizen as I known him, and I think I know him intimately, would not ask their Representative in Congress to vote against any measure that was for the good of the entire country, and I say with equal force that when the foreign born is made the target of a small organized minerity who do not understand him and who refuse to learn to know him are directly framing legislation to burt him and his family at the expense of the welfare of the country, it is only natural that loyal American citizens should call upon their chosen Representatives in Congress and ask them to oppose this measure. There has been no secret about It: protest meetings have been held in every large center. Petitions have been fled right bere in the basket, witnesses have appeared before the committee—how can you create any improper influence out of anything, out of a movement carried on in the open honestly. A great deal has also been said about the foreign press. The foreign press is not the only kind of press taking an interest in this proposition. I have here in my hands a publication which has featured restrictive immigration legislation for a long time. If you will rend the Fiery Cross of January 18, 1924, you will find there an alarming headline caritled "Americans' Heritage Menaced," says Doctor Evans; and who is Doctor Evans? Why, the Fiery Cross says that he is no less than t

Ku-Kinx Klansmer have been underlining it for some years, and now many leading American journals and publicists are sounding a deep and loud alarm. Action can not be too quick. Something has been done, loud alerm. Action can not be too quick. Something has been dose, but not enough; the quots law is but a step in the right direction. Universely, disease, insanity, and mental deficiency are still pouting in upon us. Immigrants are streaming into cities to make modern Sodoms and Comorrabs. Up to 1850, 05 per cent of our immigration was of the Nordic types—kindred, desirable, easily assimilable people. * * What Nordic greatness has wrought in this country, if the Ku-Kinx Kinn has anything to say—and it is going to have augmenting to say—neither shall be torn down by political madness nor shall be dranged down on discover and inbecility.

And in the Fiery Cross issue of Friday, March 28, 1924, we find that the energetic gentleman from Ohio [Mr. Casta] put the floor leader of the majority on record and required him to do so in writing, according to the news report in the flaming paper, and I read:

Congressman Carre, one of the sponsors of the linmigration bill, was determined that a vote be urged with the least possible delay, so be obtained the following written promise from Mr. Longworm.

Then the written promise we find was the statement given to the press by Mr. Loneworrn in outlining the legislative program of the House some 10 days ago and I quote from the so-called written promise as contained in the paper:

The immigration bill will be considered immediately following the passage of these bills.

The bills referred to being the regular appropriation bills. Then turning the page of the Fiery Cross to the editorial section, we find this startling pronunciamiento:

For those who may not be awars of it, it might be stated here that Ohio is one of the chief strongholds of the Kian, ranking next to indians, which at this time leads the Nation in Klandom. Taking Ohio as a single unit, Dayton is one of the strongest Klan cities in Ohio. Dayton is "Klan all through".—

And then let me read the next editorial criticizing one of the great New York dailles, the Brooklyn Eagle, and it is not necessary for me to go to the defense of that great daily. There is no better, more loyal nor square daily in this whole country than the Brooklyn Daily Eagle. That paper is not of bi-political faith, nor of my school of politics. It often criticizes me and does so squarely, but I will say right here that its ownership, its editorial staff, is of the very highest type of Americans, and nothing that may be said by the Fiery Cross can in the slightest affect the standing of that paper or its personnel. But let me read:

The entire country is aware that the Catholic and the Jew are for unrestricted immigration. Americans, however, are not. They see the deadly menace that faces America at this critical time. It is possible that the editor of the Eagle, too, sees the menace; but with less than 1.000,000 people who are of white Protestant, Gentile, American extraction in a city of approximately 8,000,000 somis, it is only natural that the Eagle should play to the overwheining majority.

There is hardly any doubt but that the editor really meant the people of New York City are not for it. Some kind person should send the Eagle editor a map of the United States that he might learn that America only starts in New York and runs clear to the Pacific Ocean before stopping. Also inform him that the uplinen of "the average New Yorker" is not necessarily the opinion of the millions of Americans went of Jersey City. The entire country is aware that the Catholic and the Jew are for

went of Jersey City.

I read these quotations to show the warp-minded attitude of the official organ of the hooded organization and to demonstrate the one-sidedness of its argument; why, gentlessen, every Memier of this House knows that the word of the floor leader is his bond. The Fiery Cross, of April 4, 1924, states that thousands of letters are being received by Mr. Johnson from New York, and that New Yorkers complain that they have to depend upon Congressman Johnson and upon the efforts of the Ku-Klux Klan, because their Representatives in Congress are going to vote against the bill. Why, gentlemen, I have a whole file full of the publications, and I say to you that the leaders responsible for the activities of the Ku-Klux Klan are doing more to divide this country and to divide the people of a country than any agency that ever existed in the history of the world. These arguments, these articles, are read all over the country. You can not prevent the people of the East forming their opinion of this organization. this organization.

They can not understand how you can stand up for Americanism, how you want to shut the doors against those who you believe do not understand American traditions, and how, in the darkness of night, these same people, with masks or hoods, will take some poor defenseless negro and chastise him by corporal punishment or by hanging him, and burning down the houses of the poor undefended negro—they can not understand why, in order to create law and fear, to establish brutal dominance, it is necessary to burn the very symbol of Christianity, which they have been brought up from infancy to revere and worship, they can not understand why it is that this organization has directed its activities and the power of its organized force at a group of people, at races, and religious who are defenseless, who want to take their place in the one big American family. Do you not see what harm is being done, what irreparable harm is being done, and in the name of the same God we all worship and for the glory of our only flag, I ask the Ku-Klux Klan to take off their mask and to meet us in the light of day to

talk these things over and to act in accordance with the best interests and in accordance with the tradition and spirit of

I will tell you gentlemen that he who steals my purse steals I will tell you gentlemen that he who steals my purse steals trush but he who attempts to take my Americanism away from me takes all I have and all that is dear to me. Gentlemen, I was raised out in the big State of Arizona, and anyone who seeks to question that Americanism, I do not care how big he is, will do so at his pecil. [Applause.]

Mr. HAKEH. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. RAKEH. Would the gentleman mind telling the committee, if he knows, about an organization composed of about 1,200 lodges with about 150,000 members to which you can not belong unless you speak and write a foreign language?

Mr. LaGUARDIA. Yes; and let me tell the gentleman something—

Mr. LAGUARDIA. Test, and fer me ten few gentleman something—

Mr. RAKER. I am asking for information.

Mr. Laguardia. I want to be perfectly fair. Let me inform my cellengue that he is laboring under a mistaken translation. I have their by-laws and have had a translation mada. What it says is: "Without regard to language, religion, or political affiliations." I have a correct translation here, Mr.

Mr. BAKER. I am asking for information.
Mr. LaGUARDIA. Well, the gentleman has obtained it.
The CHAIRMAN. The time of the gentleman from New

Mr. RAKER. I am asking for information.

Mr. LAGUARDIA. Well, the gentleman has obtained it.

The CHARIMAN. The time of the gentleman from New York has again expired.

Mr. RAKER. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself 20 minutes.

The CHARIMAN. The Chair will state to the gentleman from California that the present condition of the time does not permit that.

Mr. RAKER. We have from now until balf past 5 o'clock, and I was to have one-fourth of that time.

The CHARIMAN. Let the Chair state what the parliamentary situation is. By the rules of the House the time is divided four hours on a side. By a subsequent unanimous-consent agreement, after the expiration of that time, the general debate will run on until recess, and run on commencing at 8 o'clock until the House shall adjourn, which shall not be later than 11 o'clock. The Chair has no means of knowing whether there will be any more than eight hours used, and except by unanimous consent the Chair will allow the gentleman from Washington but four hours until after the gentleman from Washington but four hours until after the gentleman from Washington far, Jourseos) has remaining?

The CHAIRMAN. The gentleman from Washington has personally used two hours and two said a half minutes, and has gielded the gentleman from California [Mr. Jourseos) has remaining?

The CHAIRMAN. The gentleman from Washington with one minute and a half.

Mr. JOHNSON of Washington. That will be sufficient for me to close the debate.

The CHAIRMAN. The gentleman from California have 15 minutes in addition to the time remaining to his credit and that the gentleman from Illinois have 15 minutes additional.

Mr. SABATH. In other words, that I have as much time additional, and thereafter we will see about the hour of rising.

Mr. MADDEN. Reserving the right to object. I understand the gentleman from Illinois have 15 minutes, and the gentleman from Illinois, have 15 minutes, and the gentleman from Washington. That will be 15 minutes, and the gentleman from Washington to the time in his minutes, and the g

Mr. JOHNSON of Washington. I am perfectly willing that the geotherion from Collisionia (Mr. Rakka) shall have 15

minutes in addition to the 4 minutes and the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. Saratte) 15 minutes additional.

The CHAIRMAN. The Chair is of the impression that the Committee of the Whole can not by unanimous consent change the order set by the House, and the Chair is of the opinion that until the eight hours have been exhausted the Chair must follow the order which was directed by the House, and that even by unanimous consent in the committee we can not change it. I might suggest to the gentleman from Illinois that if he desires to yield time to the gentleman from California, on the presumption the House will have plenty of additional time, the gentleman can do so; but so far as the Chair is concerned, he will leave the time in the control of the gentleman from Illinois, with a minute and a half in the control of the gentleman from Washington.

Mr. SABATH. Mr. Chairman, for the convenience of the House, I am willing to yield the time to the gentleman from California now, with the understanding that later on I will be yielded that time back by the gentleman from Washington, The CHAIRMAN. The gentleman from Washington yields to the gentleman from California the balance of his time.

Mr. SABATH. And I yield him the balance of my time. The CHAIRMAN. And the gentleman from Ullinois yields the balance of his time, and therefore, under the rule, the gentleman from California is recognized for nineteer minutes and a half.

Mr. RAKER. And also my fore minutes.

a half.

Mr. RAKER. And also my four minutes.

Mr. JOHNSON of Washington. Yes.

Mr. RAKER. Mr. Chairman and gentlemen of the House, I do not know whether a man sught to attempt to qualify himself or not, but I feel I ought to say just a word or two on this matter before proceeding.

For 13 years I have been a member of this committee, I assisted in the bill when President Taft was in office, and it was vetoed; and then, as a member of the committee during all the legislation that brought about the act of 1017, which was vetoed twice and then passed over the President's veto, and also assisted in the subsequent legislation.

The Committee on Immigration was given the power to study the immigration question. The committee took testimony in Washington and went to New York and other places and spent two menths and a half in the Western States taking testimony relating to immigration.

In addition to that, during the last year I spent practically

Washington and went to New York and other places and spent two menths and a half in the Western States taking testimony relating to immigration.

In addition to that, during the last year I spent practically all of my time after the adjournment of Congress on March 4 in going over the United States and visiting practically all the cities of the United States. I spent two and a half months on the Hawalian Islands and I visited every island and saw every sugar plantation there except one. I visited every nationality and every organization that had any headquarters. I went there at my own expense and in my own time for the purpose of seeing the situation. I then again crossed the American continent and spent over two and a half months in Europe, having been there three years before, shortly after the armistice, when we went over a great part of Europe. This last time I went there for the purpose of seeing the conditions as they then existed and as they exist now.

In addition to this the committee has taken possibly \$000 pages of testimony during the last four years. We have heard every conceivable question that relates to humigration discussed. We have studied the Mexican aftuation. We have gone into the labor situation, and we have gone into the different methods that have been suggested.

Mr. MADDEN. Will the gentleman yield for a question?

Mr. RAKER. I yield.

Mr. MADDEN. Now that the gentleman has given us a certificate of his own qualifications, I would like to sak him what it was that Induced him and the rest of the committee to leave the floodgates open for the admission of people from Mexico?

Mr. RAKER, In one word I will answer that. The committee gave great thought to that question. We had 25 or 30 wilnesses before us some four years ago and went into it in every particular, and the committee also looked into the law, and I am convinced absolutely that with the literacy test and the 88 beactars provision, and under the law requrding contract labor law was enforced to-day there would not be a thousand

spectors were within half a mile. They walked up to the im-migration station and said they wanted to be sent back to

Mr. SARATH. Is it not a fact that dally Mexicans do come here under the law

Mr. RAKER. No; they do not; if the law was enforced they

would not do so. Mr. SABATH. Mr. RAKER.

Mr. RARAER. No; they do not; if the law was enforced they would not do so.

Mr. RAKER. No; they do not; if the law was enforced they would not do so.

Mr. RAKER. They do not come in legally. I stand on that as I stand on my two feet here.

Mr. RAKER. They do not come in legally. I stand on that as I stand on my two feet here.

Mr. RAKER. I do not care what the department says.

Mr. RAKER. I do not care what the department says.

Mr. DICKSTEIN. Will the gouldeman yield?

Mr. RAKER. Yes: I yield.

Mr. DICKSTEIN. How does the gentleman justify the exclusion of nomities and children and that they have to reside in Mexico 10 years before it gives them the right to come in?

Mr. RAKER. Vell, it does not mean that. That is intended for people who have been advised to go! in that way. There would be a perfect stream of steamboats going to Mexico 13 there was no limitation, and that was intended that if they went to Mexico they would have to live there 10 years before they could come in. That was to plug up that hole.

There has been much discussion here as to the heligible cause—thouse—thouse—thouse—thouse—thouse—thouse—thouse—thouse—thouse—thouse indigible as efficient of the United States.

There has been only one treaty since the formation of this government whereby we dealt with homigration, and that treety was with Chias. That has been abrogated, and we have now an exclusion law. Since the beginning of the Government was said that these people of the race on the Pacific coast. Social as Malays, and Chinese, and Japanese and others were not settlied to be clizens, and that they could not be naturalized. The Supreme Court of the United States has add the Japanese and others were not sufficient in the present time.

The Supreme Court of the United States has said that Filipinos can not be naturalized, and the Supreme Court of the United States has said the Japanese could not be naturalized. The Supreme Court of the United States has been declared ineligible to become citizens and be naturalized. The people of the flo

Islands.

Now look again: Hawatians, 18,000. For awhile there were many others, but they pinched them out.

With the gentlemen's agreement that there was to be no increase in the Japanese population in the Islands or the possessions of the United States, or in continental United States, starting in 1880 down to 1800 they got very few, and they run up until there was 100,274 Japanese in the Hawatian Islands. I visited the schools of the Hawatian Islands, one where the teacher told me there were over a thousand pupils present. So belp me God, there were not over six white people attending that school. Anybody knows that within the lost 10 years with those born in Hawati they can dominate everything in

the island of Hawaii and elect all the officers. Then they say

there is no danger in this increase of population.

These are from the census, and I will tell you another thing that I was unable to get into the RECORD and which I tried to prove. I talked with the immigration official. He told me that

that I was unable to get into the Recom and which I tried to prove. I talked with the immigration afficial. He told me that when the student goes out, the native-born student, he registers as a Japanese. He gets a Japanese passport. When he comes into the country he comes in as an American citizen, and there is no record kept in regard to his entrance as a Japanese. Then people talk about an increase! There are 15,000 native Japanese in the Japanese schools, and when they come back they come in as American citizens, and they tell us that because there is not as large an increase because of this fact, there is no increase in the Islands.

Let us take the map of continental United States.—Japanese and Chinese population—continental United States, 1870 to 1920. In 1870, we had only 55 Japanese in continental United States, 1870 to 1920. In 1870, we had only 55 Japanese in continental United States. Follow the line up to 1830, 1800, up to 1900, and then it will be seen that between 1905 and 1907, when they were working on the gentlemen's agreement that the Japanese population had increased tremendously. The Department of Labor has never seen this gentlemen's agreement and we have their tetter on file. No man has seen it except the Secretary of State and his officials. The committee members have been there and the members of the delegation have been there, and I call attention again to the fact that even the treaty, with that postscript upon ft, is still a secret document and among the secret files in the Senate within the last three weeks about that. They have never yet admitted the American people to see bow, or why, or what was done when they adopted the treaty of 1911. While they were doing that, we find, coming to the United States during that period, over 20,000 Japanese, and then when they adopted the gentlemen's agreement for about a year, it will be noted that the invasion slackened. Shore that time, up until 1920, we find that they have now over 110,000 and they have been coming in continually and are comi

time, up nutil 1920, we find that they have now over 110,000 and they have been coming in continually and are coming in to-day.

During that period from 1912 to 1915 there were over 30,000 Japanese picture brides who came to the United States, and I have here a list on one vessel. The Committee on Immigration saw them coming, from 50 to 100 in a vessel. In the year 1923 I saw the same thing with my own eyes in the Hawaiian Islands. I saw them landing over 60 picture brides at one time, and 50 others during the month of blay and June of last year. They shut out the picture brides so far as the continental United States is concerned in 1920. Within the last week I received this paper from Tokyo itself and here is one family which runs up to about a hundred. There is a memorandum showing that before the picture-brides order was made in 1919—it look effect in 1920, in August—they began coming over as picture brides. The Japanese Government, when a Japanese returned to Japan, made him enter the military service. They have abandoned that and they now give him from six months to a year, so that he goes himself to Japan and gets his bride and brings her in. The Japanese Government encourages that and they now pive him from six months to a year, so that he goes himself to Japan and gets his bride and brings her in. The Japanese Government encourages that and the steamship companies give him credit, so that it really does not cost him any more to go over and get his bride than to go and come back. Here is a jist showing that there were some 50 in the last month. That is a paper that is published in Japan and a friend of mine sent it to me.

Every child born in the United States is an American citizen. They want to own the land. It is transferred to them immediately, and then they appoint a guardian. We have gone so far in California in order to save our own homes and save the western part of continental United States as to provide by law that a person inclighle to become a citizen of the United States can not be the guar

we saw where they were occupying the land and running the country. In that year, 1950, over 19,000 acres of that land were nader the domination and control and use of the Japanese in that one locality. That is the situation. We went through the State of Washington, down through the valley between

Senttle and Tacoma, and we found in that wonderful valley over 50 per cent of the land in the control of the Japanese. We found that in the city of Seattle 47 per cent of every hotel in that great city was under the centrol and domination of the Japanese. We found the fish markets in Seattle under their domination and supervision. We found the vegetable markets under their centrol and supervision. We found thanks and every ofher enterprise—barker shops, small stores, and others—under their domination and control. Go on down through California and down into Los Angeles, and the people there did the work themselves. We found that over 75 per cent of these occupations in these places are being controlled by these people, and then some people say that we do not have occasion to worry. As a boy I went in the normal school down near San Jose.

The CHARIKMAN. The time of the gentleman from Cali-

occasion to werry. As a boy I went to the normal school down near San Jose.

The CHAIRMAN. The time of the gentleman from Callfornia has expired. The entire eight hours provided in the rule have now expired, and the time from now on is to be equally divided between the gentleman from Washington and the gentleman from Billools.

Mr. JOHNSON of Washington. Does the Chair hold that I can not sak unanimous consent—

The CHAIRMAN. The Chair holds that the gentleman can now yield time. The time is now under the control of the gentleman from Washington and the gentleman from Illinois.

Mr. JOHNSON of Washington. Mr. Chairman, I yield 10 minutes to the gentleman from California [Mr. Rakkel.

Mr. HAKER. They bad great fields of other work. In those days of 1883 and 1884 the American boy and the American high school and college girl assisted in doing this work. There were not any Chinese in the fields; there were not any Japanese in the fields. We go back 25 years and we find these boys and girls driven from their places of employ. You know and I know that high-strung American boy.

Mr. DICKSTEIN. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. BAKER. I will.

Mr. DICKSTEIN. Will the gentleman kindly make it clear to the House that the minority is with the majority on this proposition?

Mr. RAKER. I did, and I state this in fairness to all: This

Mr. RAKER. I did, and I state this in fairness to all: This

to the House that the minority is with the majority on this proposition?

Me MAKER. I did, and I state this in fairness to all: This matter came up before the committee, and the committee unaulmously voted for these provisions in this bill. I appinuse. I So we have the united extendth of the entire committee on that part of this bill. I took this time for this reason.

There has been considerable agitation and there has been some literature circulated in regard to this provision that we were violating treaties, and now everybody yields and concedes that we do not violate any treaty. The next question is as to the gentlemen's agreement, which is not written, unknown, unseen, unworkshie; and above and beyond all of that my contention is in reading our Constitution, reading the decisions of our Supremo Court, the treaty making power itself could not enter into a treaty and give a foreign country the control of who should come to the United States. [Applause.] If Congress itself. If every vote cast upon it in the House and Senste, and the President should sign it, should pess a law yielding to a foreign country, as this geatlemen's agreement duce, to say that Japan should say who should come to our country. It is axainst our Constitution, it is against our very severeligaty, and can not be done. [Applause.] Now the only point that we desire is that this matter might be fully and fairly presented to the House, so that we might put in positive law that the gentlemen's agreement is not in operation only longer, and that the statutes of Congress may control, and that our officials may determine who shall come to the United States. The tamigration officers hold up their hands when you ask them. "Why do you not exclude these persons?" They have not a word to say. When a Japanese comes to car shores and presents a passport and he is admissible we can not exclude him unless he is discused. Now the dignity and benor and stability of our country demand that all the other ontions of the earth shide by and ten not not say wher

even course and the cases went into the courts, and from the lower courts to the Supreme Court of the United States, and the best brains and ability the Japanese could muster and bring together there argued and reargued, and the Supreme Court without a dissenting volce held in five cases, one from the State of Washington and four from the State of California, first, that they had the right to pass the law and it was not against any treaty.

against any treaty.

Second, that they could not even lease, they could not belong to a corporation of which the majority of stock was held by allons; and, next, they could not even have a copying contract, because it affected the soil, and they have been moving along in an even way; and, as the distinguished President said, if Jupen ever increased her population we can and will pass an exclusion law, and then the gentlemen's agreement is wiped out. Now, I will just ask men to look at the map of Hawaii and then look at the map of continental United States.

Mr. BOX. I have here the words of the President.

Mr. RAKER. Will the gentleman read them?

Mr. BOX. I will read just that section:

I secured an arrangement with Japan under which the Japanesa themselves prevented any immigration to our country of their laboring people, it being distinctly understood that if there was such immigration the United States would at once pass an exclusion law.

people, it being clatherity understood that if there was such immigration the United Sintes would at once pass an exclusion law.

Mr. RAKER. Yes. That is the part of the "gentlemen's agreement" that was never put into the report of 1908. That is the part of the "gentlemen's agreement" that everybody left out. That is the part of the "gentlemen's agreement" that we ask to be enforced. It was dictated by the great President Roosevelt, and nobody will complain, nobody will object to our asserting our sovereign rights in such matters as this.

There is just one other thought that I wish to leave with the attention of the committee, and that is that we have been patient, we have done everything that has been within the busian power of man to prevent any infractions of the law, believing in our Government, and believing that we would get legislation. We have secured it all except this, and there was sout here the other day by an organization from Los Angeles the statement that the American Legion refused to permit Japanese to build a church in Hollywood. Those are great hoys.

Mr. McLAUGHLIN of Michigan. It is my recollection that when the Legislature of the State of Culifornia was considering the passage of a land law relating to Japanese matters Fresident Roosevelt naked the legislature not to pass that law, and later when one or both of these matters was up in California President Wilson sent Mr. Bryan, the Secretary of State, to California to intercede with the legislature not to pass it.

Mr. RAKER. Yes. I will refer to that. When President Roosevelt was in office we had a long telegram from him to this effect:

Be patient, gentlemen. We will have it adjusted so that there will be no more need of legislation.

That was the "gentlemen's agreement." Then you had Governor Johnson's administration in California, and this legislation was pending; and President Wilson, thuking that this thing could be disposed of and adjusted, proposed to send Secretary Bryan out to California. Before Secretary Bryan left, the Committee on Immigration had Secretary Bryan before it, on two several days, most of the forenoons, and he said it would be adjusted.

And without any egotism—for he it from me—when we heard that Mr. Bryan was to leave Washington, I went to see the President a number of times, and I begged him to recall Mr. Bryan and not send him to California, because, as I said, "Just as sure as he goes, the legislature will pass that bill, irrespective of anything relating to the treaty." It was all right so far as the treaty was concerned. I think that an-

svers it.

Mr. MILLER of Washington, Mr. Chairman, will the gentle-

Mr. RAKER. Yes.
Mr. MILLER of Washington. In order to satisfy some Members here, will the gentleman explain whether there is anything in this bill prohibiting immigration of Japanese to the Hawalian Islands and the Phillippines?
Mr. RAKER. It curs them off from the Hawalian Islands.
Mr. MILLER of Washington. And from the Phillippines?
Mr. RAKER. Yes; and from the Phillippines. We except a certain class—Government officials, and so forth.

certain class Government officials, and so forth.

Now, one word in conclusion. The Supreme Court has said that the treaty of 1811 was a treaty of navigation and trade, and therefore we provide in this legislation that those who come to this country for the purpose of engaging in foreign trade are permitted to enter. So we will have no question about the treaty. We will admit those who come here to engage in trade, in interstate and foreign commerce; but we limit it, and the question will be settled, once and for all, to the satisfaction not only of the West but of the entire United States.

Mr. MADDEN. Mr. Chairman, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. RAKER. Xes.

Mr. MADDEN. If their children are born here, of course they will become Americans?

Mr. RAKER. I will answer that by saying they become Americans by virtue of the Constitution, but God knows they will never become Americans at heart, no matter how long they stay here.

Mr. MADDEN. I mean literally.

stay here.

Mr. MADDEN. I mean literally.

Mr. RAKER. Yee; literally, I say. I want to thank the House for its courtesy. [Applause.]

Mr. SABATH. Mr. Chairman, I desire to take five minutes for the purpose of making clear to the House that the minority of the committee, resilizing that the four members of the committee who have sponsored this provision are from that part of our country confronted with the Japanese situation, and were better informed as to the conditions than we, we of the minority have agreed to their views. Therefore there is no question as to the Japanese and Chinese proposition to-day.

It also wish to say, in connection with a statement that has been made here as to foreign powers, that we of the minority also resent interference on the part of any foreign nation or any foreign people. Unfortunately some of the Members are sometimes under the impression that because people who are born here have foreign names, that they are foreigners that is not true. These people, though of foreign parentage and those naturalized have, under the Constitution, the same rights and the same privileges, I believe, as American citizens whose parents might have been born of so-called Nordic parents. Now, as to the picture brides I wish to state that my colleage [Mr. Harris] and the rest of the Members worked hard, and in mighty gird that it has been eliminate that practice, and am mighty gird that it has been eliminated. [Applause.]

Mr. Chnirman, I yield five minutes to the gentleman from Bhode Island (Mr. Anoxich). [Applause.]

The CHAIRMAN. The gentleman from Rhode Island is recognized for five minutes.

Mr. ALDRICH. Mr. Chairman and gentlemen of the com-

The CHAIRMAN. The gentlement from Rhode Island is recognized for five minutes.

Mr. ALDRICH. Mr. Chairman and gentlemen of the committee in speaking against this bill I desire to make it clear that my oposition is not to the administrative scatures of the bill, but to that provision which limits the number of quota manigrants who can ester this country in any one year to 100 and 2 per cent of the number of foreign-born individuals of a particular notiousity reading in the United States as determined by the consus of 1800, instead of using the census of 1800, instead of using the census of 1800, instead of using the census of 1800 as the quote basis. The administrative features are, for the most part, a great improvement over those contained in the present law, and that part of the bill which grants exemption in lavor of parents, hashands, and wives, and children is especially commendable from a humanitarian standpoint. I receptive that this should be done according to American principles and epitheut discrimination against the antionals of the section and the present distribution against the antional or an audit of living of our countries.

The principal argument of the committee in its report in favor of numerical restriction of liminigrations is that we stream the present a bill which will have the effect of slowing up the Americanization of these countries will be proved to the state of the propose of the committee in the first of the substitution of the committee in the restriction of the committee of the countries of the countries of our country faster that we are able to usfulfill the our country faster that we are able to usfulfill the our country faster that we are able to usfulfill the our country faster that we are able to usfulfill the our country faster that we are able to usfulfill the our country faster that we are able to usfulfill the our country faster that we are able to usfulfill the our countries to the our countries to the our countries of a countries of a countries of a countries of a coun

is rightly looked down upon if he does not enter into the spirit

is rightly tooked down upon if he does not enter into the spirit of our institutions.

We expect him to become a thoroughly American citizen, and now by the provisions of section 10 of this bill we are attempting to put into the law a provision which says to a very large group of those people, who are already naturalized or about to become so, that we do not consider you particularly good citizens and are, therefore, materially reducing the number of your kind that may come into this country hereafter. It must be apparent to every fair-minded Member of this House that this is the worst kind of discrimination against a large class of individuals and absolutely opposed to our American ideas of equality and justice. Is it not also apparent that a law of this kind will not have the effect of Americanising our foreign born more rapidly but, on the contrary, will have the opposite effect and cause them to doubt our sincerity, to lose faith in the fairness of our Government, and to lose their desire to become loyal American citizens? In discussing the reasons for limiting admission of quota famigrants to 2 per cent of our foreign-born population based on the census figures of 1890, instead of 3 per cent based on the census of 1910, the committee said in its original report:

An impelling reason for the change is that it is desired to slow

committee said in its original report;

An impelling reason for the change is that it is desired to slow down the streams of the types of immigrants which are not easily assimilated. Naturalization does not necessarily mean assimilation. The naturalization process can not work well with the continued arrival in large numbers of the so-called new immigration. The new type crowds in the larger cities. It is exploited, it gains but a slight knowledge of America and American institutions. It has grown to be a great undigested mass of alien thought, alien sympathy, and alien purpose. It is a menace to the social, political, and economic life of the country. It creates alarm and apprehension. It breeds racial hatreds, which should not exist in the United States and which need not exist when the halance shall have been restreet.

Does not this statement show that the committee has taken upon itself to decide that the nationals of certain European countries are undestrable citizens, and has it not practically insulted all the people from those countries living in the United States, whether they are American citizens or not? It is true that they disclaim this intention in rather apologetic and unconvincing language on page 17, where they say:

Our citizens do not speak of any type of peoples as actually unde-sizable. Nonassimilable or allow of assimilation is meant. The unde-sizables are the criminals, the insane, the paupers, and the other classes excluded by section 3 of the act of 1917.

cirables are the crimicals, the insure, the pagers, and the other classes exclased by section 3 of the act of 1917.

In the later majority report they modify their language somewhat, but the fact remains they have made very serious accusations against the nationals of these countries; and this is hardly the way to encourage the Americanization of these nationals. Discrimination against those airendy here does much more to "breed racial hatreds" than anything class. If we desire assimilation, we must treat all alike.

There is a mother reason advanced for limiting immigration numerically, and that is to protect our own workingmen from abnormal competition from foreign countries; for example, when there is a general industrial depression in foreign countries, such as exists in some European countries to-day, there are likely to come into our country unusually large numbers of foreign laborers, a great many of them coulds for a short time only, who come here for the purpose of making a living in competition with our own people, with the result that the standard of living of our own workers, who have built up this country, is temporarily, if not permanently, impaired by this abnormal competition. The committee has not discussed this phase of the question, and I simply call attention to it, as I believe it is the reason why a large number of national organizations have filed petitions with the committee registering their approval of this bill. I believe their reasoning is sound, but they are not interested in the question whether we adopt the census of 1880 or the census of 1816 as the lasts of figuring the quotus, but favor some limitarition on immigration and in dorse the Johnson bill, as ft is the only immigration bill before the House.

Now, let us see who the people are that are discriminated against by this bill. I happen to come from a State which has

criminated against by going back to the census of 1800 for our mote basis is Italy. Under the present law \$2,657 Italians are admissible each year. Under the proposed law this number would be cut to 4,659. What is true of the Italians, I think, is also true to a very great extent of the nationals of the other countries of southern Europe; but let us take the Italians as an example, and I shall speak of them as Italians for the sake of brevity, although a large part of them are Americans of Italian descent

Industrially the Italians of our State have been extremely successful, and this is noteworthy when we consider the fact that a large number of them were unskilled laborers when they first arrived in this country. They are thrifty and a great many of them have acquired their own homes. Some have farms, and even those who have not are extremely skillful in raising agricultural products upon the lands which they possess or on small gardens around their houses. They do not confine their efforts to any one line of industry. They work on our farms, in our mills, and in our stores. We have successful Italian farmers, bankers, lawyers, doctors, and businesses men, and many of them rank at the top of their respective businesses or professions, having worked their way up from humble beginnings. For instance, one of the justices of our superior court was born in Italy, having come to Rhode Island in his youth, and because of his remarkable ability was chosen for the position be now holds.

youth, and because of his remarkable ability was chosen for the position be now holds.

Those Italians who have lived among us for a number of years have proved by their interest and activities in civic affairs that they are apidly becoming completely Americanized and have adopted our social customs. They take an interest in the development of our institutions and charities. Whenever there is an effort made to raise funds for building hospitals or supporting charitable institutions the Italians always do their part, and do it cheerfully and energetically.

In regard to the political tendencies of the Americans of Italian descent and also the nationals of the other southern European nations there is a popular impression that they are inclined to be radical, but an examination of the cilizens in Rhode Island, where we have as large a percentage of these people as any State in the Union, shows this to be untrue. For example, at the last election for governor in Rhode Island there were less than 1,000 votes cast for the socialist candidate. The New England States are traditionally conservative, and the large increase of foreign population during recent years has done nothing toward destroying this traditional conservatism. The Italians are becoming naturalized rapidly and are taking a deep and active interest in our political affairs. In the city of Providence one member of the board of aldermen and several members of the common council are of Italian descent. Several members of our State legislature and an assistant attorney general are also of Italian extraction. In examinaling the votes of these members of the various political bodies there is nothing to indicate that they are radical, and there is nothing to indicate that they are radical, and there is nothing to indicate that they are radical, and there is nothing to indicate that they are radical, and there is nothing to indicate that they are influenced by any radial considerations, but yote according to what they consider is for the best interest of the cou

If we compare the radicalism existing in Rhode Island, where 28 per cent of our population is foreign born and largely from those countries against which this bill would discriminate, with some of the Western States, where the foreign-born population is made up largely of Nordic races, I think that we will find that there is much less radicalism in Rhode Island than there is in these Western States.

The record of American soldiers of Italian parentage during the war has already been called to our attention on the floor of this House, and I simply wish to add that what has been said of them is berne out by the opinion of a friend of mine who, as a colonel during the World War, commanded a regiment which contained a large number of these soldiers from Rhode Island, and he speaks of them as extremely brave and efficient. Furthermore, let us not forget what men like Pante, Michael Angelo, Leonardo Da Viaci, Verdi, Galileo, Marroul, and innumerable other librations Italians have contributed to librature, art. music, and science. Should we hesitate to welcome the descendants of these men to our citizenship? Are they not likely to contribute something of value to our country and our civilization?

If we adopt the census of 1890 as the basis for the quotas to be admitted under our lumigration law, we will greatly discriminate against the nationals of ituly and the other contribet of southern Europe. If we amend this bill and retain the census of 1910 as our quota basis, we avoid this discriminate contribution and we will have an opportunity to exact an immigration. Highly was offered them they fook arms with the Allies significant their persecutors.

Mr. VAILE. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. KENZ. Yes, sir.

Mr. VAILE. As the gentleman has remarked on the floor here this is a very important subject, and while I do not eare the point of no quorum, as the gentleman did the other

law which will be fair and just to the nationals of all countries and which will not offend any of our citizens. Whether our ancestors were born in northern or southern Europe or elsewhere we have all done our share in building up this great country of ours, and we are entitled to equal consideration in the framing of its laws, and I trust that the Members will keep this fact in mind in voting on the bill now before the House.

Mr. SABATH. Mr. Chairman, I yield 15 minutes to my col-league from Illinois [Mr. Kunz].

The CHAIRMAN. The gentleman from Illinois is recognized

league from Illinois [Mr. Kunz].

The CHAIRMAN. The gentleman from Illinois is recognized for 15 minutes.

Mr. KUNZ. Mr. Chairman and gentlemen of the committee, let us be as generous in pence as we have been brave in buttle. There seems to be a great deal of alarm among the Members of Congress and more so than there is amongst the people of this country. There seems to be a great deal of national hatred among some of the Members of Congress, and I regret it very much. In the last year or two, since I have been a Member of Congress. I have observed it. I remember a year or two ago that I attended the Army and Navy game. I rose in my seat, with some of my friends from Chicago, and a Congressman from the State of Tennessee was asked who I was, and be stated I was a Polish Jew.

Now, gentlemen, if I were a Polish Jew I would be proud of it, because I believe the same sun that shines upon me shines upon that gentleman; that the very ster which enlightened him enlightened me, and there is no difference between him and me; that the same Lord and Creator created him who created me and I believe when the day of judgment comes he will be judged as I will be judged, whether he belongs to one creed or another, whether he worships in one church in which he worships, but the question will be. What have you done for humanity and what kind of a man have you been during your time of life! I physics.

what kind of a man have you been during your time of life? [Applause.]

I was very much impressed when I heard the gentleman from Tennessees [Mr. McRaysonna] mention Gen. Andrew Jackson, a name so eminently known in history, one who led an army of men through the bills and valleys of Tennessee and through the swamps and lowiands of Louisiana during the War of 1812. It put me back to my days of youth, when history recited that in 1778 not only did the Angle-Saxons fight for the fiberty of this country—who were here and had to defend their rights—but history fells us that in 1778 Koszusko, Pulaski with a Pollish army, Lafayette [applause], Rochambeau, and others came to this country, not to defend themselves or their rights but to defend the honor of liberty, to defend a home for those who were persecuted and those who desired to live under the banner of freedom and liberty. General Pulaski fell in battle at Sayannah, Ga.

who were persecuted and those who desired to live under the banner of freedom and liberty. General Pulaski fell in battle at Savananh, Ga.

I read in the Appendix only yesterday where my worthy colleague of West Virginia [Mr. Allaw] stated that in some Jersey town some foreign paper had published the fact that a Polish judge was elected.

That must be a crime. I do not know whether it is a felony or whether it is a crime against the Constitution of this country. I remember when in the city of Chicago, which is known to be a cosmopolitan city. Frederick A. Busse was elected mayor of Chicago on the Republican ticket by an averwhelming inajority, and I remember reading in the German papers where they took pride in the fact that Fred Busse was a German and the heading was "Busse, one of our Germans, elected mayor of Chicago." There was no crime in that. When President Harding appointed Mr. Davis as Secretary of Labor. I have beard it said by a great many Welshmen, who took pride in the fact, that Mr. Davis, one of the Welshmen in this contry, was appointed in the President's Cabinet, and there was no crime in that. In every locality, in a great country like ours, the meliting pot of the world, where the people of every nation come to better themselves, we find instances of that kind. Why, gentlemen, it ought to be a lesson to us. When we go back to Germany we find that after the partition of Poland, Prince Dismarch tried to Germanize Germany. Those Poles who were partitioned and cast into Germany were prevented from using their language. Their schools were closed and they were forced to use the German ianguage. They, heing Godfearing and inwabiding, abided by the law; but when opportunity was offered them they took arms with the Allies against their persecutors.

Hr. VAILE. Will the gentleman yield?

day, I am sorry the gentleman has not a quorum present to

day, I am sorry the gentleman has not a quorum present to hear his remarks.

Mr. RUNZ. Well, I wish there was a quorum present. If the gentleman raises the point, I will be giad of it. Does the gentleman raise the point?

Mr. VAILE. No; I am a little more considerate than the gentleman was to me the other day when I was speaking.

Mr. KUNZ. I feel, Mr. Chairman, it is the daty of every Member of Congress, on a question so vitally important to the people of this country, to be here in order to be theroughly advised without prejudice as to the conditions about which we all can learn.

I want to state to the gentlemen that in 1922 I visited Europe. Congressman Bainey, who is now dead, and myself spent 10 weeks in Europe studying the conditions of emigratino, and I meeter versed than a great many men who are on the floor of this House and have taken up this bill for consideration. [Applause]. The fact is I visited those countries and I did not need an interpreter to find out conditions, and I would like to tell you what tappened there and the conditions as they are, but I have not the time. If I could have sufficient time it would be a great pleasure for me to tell you the conditions as they are, but I have not the time. If I could have sufficient time it would be a great pleasure for me to tell you the conditions as they were.

Mr. CABLEL Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. KUNZ. Yes, sir.

Mr. KUNZ. If the rentleman will sive me the time I will Mr. KUNZ. If the rentleman will sive me the time I will

inwi Mr. KUNZ. If the gentleman will give me the time I will be only too glad to describe the conditions as they were at that time.

Mr. CABLE. I have not control of the time.
Mr. KUNZ. If I had the time I would be only tee gind to

three days in sevance. There was not a public restaurant that was not crowded, and in the hotels when you registered as an American you had to pay 4,500 marks a day for a room where a native European would come in and receive the same accommodations for 1,000 marks. Of course, we were in the hotel where there were a great many people; Germans mixed with all other nations. I want to say that I talked with a great many Germans and they said that If it was not for President Wilson Germany would not be in the position it is, and they were only waiting the time when Germany would come back on its feet and be where it was before the war.

Now, gentlemen, there is no question in my mind but that Germany will be back on its feet. There is no question but that we desire to be friends with Germany, but I want to call the attention of the chairman of the Committee on Laber and Members so deeply interested in this bill to the fact that you are discriminating against other nations. As the gentleman from Colorado [Mr. Varra] said, the 1920 census would give southern Europe five times more than they have now.

The CHAIRMAN. The time of the gentleman from Illinois has expired.

The CHAIRMAN. The time of the gentleman from Illinois has expired.

Mr. SABATH. I yield to the gentleman five minutes more. Mr. KUNZ. What difference would it make how many times more any nation would get if it was an honest deal? Do you intend to give Germany more? You know that in the last war we fought Germany bitterly and I have the courage to tell you, in spite of the German influence I speak as an American to you and stand up as an American. With what You Karl told me and Mr. Rainey, that they would send propagands to this country because three-fifths was German; under your bill you had approximately 50,000, which will strengthen the Germans, and finally you will be run by the German element and not by the Angle-Saxon and those from southern Europe.

According to your census figures under the 1910 census German.

Mr. CARLER. It have not control of the time.

Mr. CARLER. It had the time I would be only too gind to.

Mr. CARLER. It had the time I would be only too gind to.

More have been a great field of slarm among the Members of Compress in talking about bolisherion, about ubillies and a result many other distributions in this country, and it is all placed in front of the foreigner. I am not here protecting the foreigner. I am not here protecting the foreigner. I am not have received 45,000; moder the 1800 they received 45,000; moder the 1800 they received 45,000; moder the 1800 they received 45,000; if they are further to not the foreigner. I am of american, furt as good an American as at there is in this country, and I can prove my any many than the control of the foreigner. I am of the control of the foreigner. I am of the control of the foreigner of the control of the foreigner of the control of t

upon the earth and made their way slowly into the creeds of men. The Jew denied to everyone not a Jew not only the rights of citizenship in temporalities but all hope of enjoying

men. The Jew denied to everyone not a Jew not only the rights of citizenship in temporalities but all hope of enjoying the blessings of heaven.

The gentile might indeed be adopted into the Jewish commonwealth, but as gentile he was as nothing. When Pericles loasted that in Athens all men enjoyed equal privileges and were preferred for their merits and not for their birth, he spoke in a city of which no inconsiderable portion of its inhabitants were slaves. By all men he meant all Athenians; he did not recognize that any but Athenians were men. Jesus first burst the bonds of national selfishness. He came to establish a kingdom that should know no end; to be united with the destinies of no nation; which should survive all and supersede all, and its foundations were laid broadly accordingly. The Jew, the gentile, the Scythian, the harbarian, the bond, the free, the black, and the white were invited to share equal benefits in His kingdom. He first taught principles broad enough to include without discrimination all nations, races, and colors in a common benefit.

The Decharation of Independence, the corner stone of our nationality, was man's first attempt to introduce the liberal principles of Christian faith into the framework of civil government. It was a declaration, not that all Americans, all Englishmen, all Frenchmen were equal, but that all men were equal, no matter where born, no matter whether educated or ignorant, rich or poor, black or white. It deduced the right to equality before the law, the right to participate in civil government, not from the accident of birth or condition, nor yet from cace or color, but from the fact of manhood alone, lApplanse.)

Upon this principle, as the one great faith of our people, the

greenment, not proin the sectorn of them or condition, hor yet from tace or color, but from the fact of manhood alone. [Applause.]

Upon this principle, as the one great faith of our people, the ideal we intended to realize, the consummation to the accomplishment of which we piedged ourselves, our fathers appealed to the God of battles and succeeded. A more solemn covenant was never entered into between a nation and the God of mitiens. Upon that principle we stood through years of bloody wars against some of the most powerful nations of the world. Without an army, without a navy, without an exchequer we stood and withstood all the power of England, because the truth will always stand and right triumph over wrong while God sits on the throne of the universe.

Thousands of brave young men, without discrimination, left our shores to suppress wur, with the Declaration of Independence in their minds—embodying liberty, freedom, and quality to all men—sleep in bloody graves across the sens, with tombstones above their graves, bearing foreign unpronounceable names, yet live in our tender and grateful memories. Their example should appeal to our manhood and our conscience.

They belped to carry our Government through a crisis in its

They belped to carry our Government through a crisis in its existence; they strove to establish it firmly upon immortable truth and to give it the noblest opportunity a nation ever had to benefit mankind. It now devolves upon as who survive to determine whether their lives were laid down in vain and in no way, I conceive, can we so truly honor them as in studying well and performing faltifully the duty they have helped to cust upon us. If we prove equal to our opportunity; if we stand for justice and for equality among men; if we keep the lamp of liberty trimmed and burning and allow its light to shine from our altitude throughout the world, we benow them; they have not died in vain. Therefore, it seems to be appropriate to inquire huto our duties to the best of our ability and gird ourselves for their performance. They died for when, and, for themselves. Therefore let us so live as to exert the influence of the exalted position that has been conferred upon us for the welfare of mankind and not for the attainment of selfish ends.

The policy of our Government as expressed by the act which

The policy of our Government as expressed by the act which was passed in Congress in 1917 for a distinctive selective lumigration measure has been to welcome to our shores all foreigners who are desirable namely, those who are mentally, physically, and morally fit and friendly to our form of government. We are all the extract of the foreigner and the only question is, when did our foreigners teach the shores of this land of promise and freedom? Those people born here of foreign parentage and those born in foreign countries comprise one-third of the entire population of this great Nation, and 20 per cent of the recent immigration constitutes the young men and women of to-day's laboring classes so accessary to our industrial prosperity. We are in need of both skilled and common laborers, also domestic help, but this bill tends to keep out that class of immigrants best suited for such occupations. Under the provisions of the bill now under consideration it would not bring into this com-

try a better class or a more assimilable body of immigrants than have come under the present law. This bill goes further than the present law in firing an arbitrary number of irring rants than can be admitted. It is the purpose of this bill to embody our permanent policy of immigration and bind us to a program which will be influxible, unscientific, and unjust; and furthermore, it is an attempt to treat a human problem upon a cold mathematical formula, since it is based on quantity rather than quality.

The present bill is particularly objectionable because it discriminates against certain nationalities already, going to make up a great portion of our population and faus the fames of recala, religious, and national haterois and brands forever those already here as an interior stock. It discriminates against Polnad, the home of culture and art and Uterature, from whome came Coperations, the astronomer; two of our Revolutionary heroes. That deeps Koschusko, who history tells us planned the deleuse of West Point and Wood with the string of the two controls of the West Point paracle grounds; and Kasimir Pulinski, who died on the battle field at Savanum, Oa., lighting for the free dim of these Lulled States of America. Both of these heroes and the composer, dam Michael and the service of these heroes and the composer, dam of these Lulled States of America. Both of these heroes and the first of the string and the service of the

resources enough, and sources of credit enough to make out of

this Nation the greatest nation on earth under our Constitution which gives us freedom and under our self-government, under which it has its rise and growth.

T realize the force of what has been said here by several gentlemen who are supporting the bill, that the first and the main obligation of an American, especialty a Member of Congress, is to look out for the welfare of the American people. If the admission of immigrants to this country, however it might ease conditions in Europe, would in the slightest degree imperil not merely the safety of our institutions but the prospects of employment for our own laborers, or of the prosperity of the American people as a whole, I would advocate not only lessening immigration but prohibiting it. But because I believe the immigrant who cultivates our soil contributes to the welfare of the country as much, if not more, than he derives from it, I am opposed to discriminately restricting a force of benefit so important to our country.

Our most developed industrial States are those which have had the largest Immigration. Our most backward States, industrially, are those which have had no immigration to speak of. The extraordinary and unprecedented growth of the United States is undoubtedly due to the effect of immigration. The States of the South pride themselves on keeping the foreigner from their furritory, and yet it is a fact that their industrial progress has been slow. A new feature now confronts those States. The negro, to the number of 500,000, has migrated to the North to fill the places in factories, street jobs, and domestic places that have formerly been filled by the Immigrant. This migration of the south in Congress.

The proponents of this bill repeat the exploded theory that there have been two periods of immigration—the good period before 1800 and the bud period since that time. The strange feature in our history is that the greatest progress we have made in industry, in science, and even in the last war, where no question was raised as to nationality, an

ins notice of labor shorter, and his conditions immeasurably improved.

The claim that there has been a great influx of foreigners to come into this country since the war by the proponents of this bill is somewhat in error. For instance, take the year 1923. The total immigration for that year was 522,919—215.397 female immigration for that year was 522,919—215.397 female immigrants and 362,522 male immigrants, of which 46,241 were under 16 years of age. During the year of 1923 statistics show that \$13,50 allens left the Unified States and returned to their native countries. During that year 117,011 Canadians and 63,765 Mexicans crossed our borders and were classed as nonquota immigrants. I for one, Mr. Chairman, believe that if we are to have a restrictive measure it should also apply to these bordering countries. These people do not counte into this country to become citizens, but only to accumulate wealth and return to enjoy the fruits of their labor in their native country.

For the welfare of the American laborers, for the prosperity of our country, for the safety of our Government, for the welfare of humanity, and for the progress and peace of the world. I believe this bill should be amended, striking out the discriminating flagture and the 1850 census. The pending question before the American people is to keep the understables out of this country. Even under the discriminating limitations of this hall, as of the 1830 census, it will be mit the cutry of a great many friends and relatives of those against whom charges have been brought as being dishoval to this country. We will find in most cases where a man is opposed to the institutions of the affection before the american being limitations of the affection before the country from the safety of the senditions in the country from whence he came where every effort was made to throttle his spirit of manhond, birth, and ancestry. My remedy would be that instead of permitting a certain percentage of any census of foreign born inat we are men the bill to read "

Nation. We have in the United States a large number of for-Nation. We have in the United States a large number of for-eign-born aliens who have willfully neglected their duty in be-coming citizens and who to-day, on account of the progress they have made, are auxious to bring their relatives to this country so that they may benefit by the prosperity of our country. But if this law was limited to citizenable alone we would keep away those who come here for profit and to carry away our

We stand upon the broad platform of the Declaration of In-dependence that-

All men are created equal; that they are endowed by their Creator with certain inalienable rights; that among these are life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness.

All men are created equal; that they are endowed by their Creator with certain inalienable rights; that among these are life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness.

We say that these rights are not given by laws, they are not given by the Constitution, but they are the gift of God, given to every man born in the world. Oh, sir, how glorious is this great principle compared with the Inhuman—I might say the heathenish—appeal to the prejudice of race against race; the endeavor further to excite the strong against the weak; the endeavor further to rective the strong against the weak; the endeavor further to rective the strong against the weak; the endeavor further to approach.

Every man is sent into this world with certain qualifies to be cultivated and developed; charged with duties to be performed and clothed with responsibilities commensurate with his power; sent into the world that some other may be better for his having lived and then say, do you believe God had no part, no design in all those wonderful events? He saw the end from the beginning, and the beginning would not have been if the end had not been intended. It is true that the lows of liberty and freedom in their hearts, the critical condition of their countries, their fleeing to these shores, their founding of a free commonwealth, their growth in education and power as a people are all natural events.

The result of my observations thus made is that there is nothing to be more dreaded in this country than fends arising from exaggeraried feelings of religion and nationality. On the other hand, the one thing needed for making our country the happiest of nations is to rub down all sharp angles and to remove these aspecties which divide our people on questions of origin and religious profession. The man who says this can not be done consistently with any set of principles founded on the charity of the gospel of on the right use of human reason is a "know-nothing," as every bigot is; while under the influence of his highery he sees no further than his n

public weifare that in all such instances the home circle should be restored and preserved.

For it is well approved that upon the integrity and prosperity of the American home rests the strength, and through it is guaranteed the perpetuity of the social structure. It may further be said with absolute confidence the record of such a man furnishes the very best proof that those for whom he seeks admission will not become a public charge. He is here; we have admitted him, he has made good; and the admission of his immediate family carries with it the very minimum of risk for society and the Government.

I believe the sentiment in favor of limitation of immigration by a strong restrictive measure is almost unanimous. The necessity for such a measure must be apparent to every thoughtful American.

More and more is the welfare of America bound up in the solution of this problem. The statement of Chairman Johnson in the Haccan of April 5, calling attention to the vast number of applications—600,000—for passports and visés by persons in Russia, awaiting an opportunity to come to their relatives in the United States, and his further satement that the reports that there are now in Warsaw 70,000 people trying to get to the United States are true, has been questioned, but has not been disproven. And the very fact that many, many refugees are temporarily domiciled in the countries on the western border of Russia, waiting to come here, temporarily domiciled, because those adjacent countries will not receive them as permanent residents, proves that those countries, in their own loss interests, are now pursuing a policy more dreaste than is preposed in this bill. And in further support of the chairman's statement, I desire to here call the attention of this House to the statement of Mr. Nathan Grosshandler, of Youngstreen, Ohio, a mitive of Hungary and a citizen of the United States for 25 years, a publisher of several newspapera, two of them foreign language—Hungarian and Slavic. His testimony gaye evidence throughost of his interess Americanism.

Even the gentleman from Illinois could not, for his he in the employment of his expressive phreseology portrayed a higher type. The witness said he had traveled in 14 countries in northeastern Europe as a student of councaid, financial, and industrial conditions, and had this to say when interrogated on the subject of wages—the prevalence of the desire of the people of these countries to come to America. I quote:

Specific of the psychology of the masses that prevails in Europe to July I wight as well say to you noneeth, you ask a handred nee if they want to come to America. Those are the condition.

It would stem superfluous to pursue further the argument to prove this condition, but I here introduce one more quotation from Mr. Grosshandler's statement:

Mr. Canada and

Mr. Canin of Chio is member of the committee). How many peo-ple old you osk that question?

Mr. Georgian Land and the asked that question by the thousand.

Mr. Canin. When you were to Europe?

Auswer, 1es, uir. Mr. Canes, And if we did not have the 3 per cent law, all would

Now note the language of the witness:

Answer, I believe of course, I will be homen with you, if the doors were open now, you might just as well figure, if the boats could carry them, they would came here by the millions.

And to be perfectly fair with the witness, he afterwards stated it would take a man four years to earn money to pay his

passage to America.

A curpenter in Budapest earns 42,000 crowns a day, or 80 caus in American money. In the United States, from 86 to \$10, and the proceeds of one day's labor for a mechanic in the United States will purchase from six to ten times as much flour as in Budapest. That is the main reason they are pressing to cause to our shores.

as in Budapest. That is the main reason they are pressing to come to our shores.

ADMINISTRATION OF 7218 LAW

We have wisely provided by law that this country shall not be the dumping ground of undesirable elements of foreign population from whatsoever source they may seek to enter. It can not be reasonably expected that foreign governments will have a great care for the interests or rights in this respect. Indeed, reliable statistics prove beyond question that there have been grave and serious abuses in this particular, whather with the commitmes of foreign governments I do not pretand to say. But every loyal American admits the imparative need of the strictest enforcement of the provisions of law in relation to this subject.

I have believed and have spoken before to day for the mitigation of the harsh and discressing teatures of the law's administration as against from rigidity. Certainly when we come to the quota line in any case where a nother comes within the count we should not exclude her baby, although outside the quota. For this regaon I have not severely criticized the temporary admitiance, under bonal, of some slight excesses of arrivals above the fixed quotas. While strong criticisms have been directed against what seemed in the instances I have quoted a fix unforcement of the law, I have felt that the error has been on the side of a recognition of humane sentiments.

I ballows the present bill will eliminate any excuse for such procedure in the future. Now, as to whether there is unjust discrimination in the 1890 census as a basis of computation of quotas, the subject has been much discussed. Gentlemen who feel that It discriminates against certain nationalities have in-

feel that it discriminates against certain nationalities have in-

sisted that the peoples referred to have rendered great service to America in time of war and have contributed by their industry to her advancement in time of peace. This is true; and I would withhold from them no meed of praise that is justly theirs. And if that is a fair argument in their behalf, and fittey who have been here so short a time have done well, and are entitled for that reason to be given a basis of admission most propidious for their former countrymen, is it then un unfale argument that the basic stock of America should be given commensurant consideration in the adjustment of this great problem, who have ochelshed the memory and the principles of those men who laid the foundation of civil and religious liberty in this country more than 300 years ago, principles which later found expression in our Constitution, a document which represented all the progress in government from the beginning of history until that good day? If it shall be true, is it strange that the millions of our population derived from that hasks origin should believe because the principles enunciated in our organic lay inhane within their very souls, who think will veneration and contemplate with gratitude the names and public services of the men who promulgated that great document? Is it strange that these millions who have borns the storms and trials of all the centuries should ask at least as much consideration as those who have come at a later date?

It is a question for America and posterity. Applicated.

Ar. ASBATH. Mr. Chalirman, I now yield to the gentleman from Cunnecticut [Mr. O'Stillional].

Ar. ASBATH. Mr. Chalirman, I now yield to the gentleman from Cunnecticut [Mr. O'Stillional].

Ar. ASBATH. Mr. Chalirman, I now yield to the gentleman from Cunnecticut [Mr. O'Stillional].

Ar. ASBATH. Mr. Chalirman, I now yield to the gentleman from Cunnecticut [Mr. O'Stillional].

Ar. ASBATH. Mr. Chalirman, I now yield to the gentleman from Cunnecticut [Mr. O'Stillional].

Are conceive few things more creat than, having permitted

provided.

However, the main thought of the bill is its restrictive feature, and the weakness of its restrictive philosophy revolves around that section which takes the census of 1890 as the basis upon which the various quotas are to be computed. This will cause an extraordinary change in the present immigration law, which is based on the census of 1910 for quota computation. The following table of figures will best explain what this proposed change involves:

	Present quote, 1 per cent, 1010	2 par cest. 1800
fiberia.		
THE B	2 445	
eligitus	2000	
Wearing	200	To Sale
ser healthy aleba	34,557	2.07
Marie Contract Contra	301	
Personer &	3,519	2.3
inland	发 经社	
MINO.	The Manager	
1900 - Continues of the		
SELECTION AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON OF T	9,4	04.2
lungsey.	2.00	Sept. 14
printill	1 TO 1 TO 1	
	42,057	100
Arcenburg		The state of
tame!	100	
etherlands	3.307	1,0
orany	以加加	in the East
Dinking the state of the state	图1,1970	3,1
SHOUTE CIRLICAL CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF	A SIN	
The state of the s		
Oylugal Reanile	3 77	Color Branch
essemblas region	9 700	
	21 215	2 1 8
Athenian region	3, 348	100
atvian rights	1,540	1
Chuanian region	2,310	31

2 per cent, 1560	でも成 一年記録表記。1日記さまた皆の	168,897
Present questa, 2 per cent, 1810	Eating of British paragrantics	807,818
	in the second se	
	nedeuu medeuu mediantis	
	THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO I	Title

Relative	ääääätätääääää
Opples and	が可えなられることのなった。 おがななのはいまのは存むが
Quota im- migrantis samitted meder tha est of May 18, 1821	に見るなる智利をたるせるの 名音なお客談を整合を記録を
Nethrolity	United Kitegolom United Kitegolom Francis No may Swoden Keleman Swoden Swoden Keleman Swoden Keleman Swoden Keleman Swoden Swode

Total Inernanci(+) or decrease (-)	古,古老人太子士。在是女主 五年 整整施服命者与安徽是是关上在理
Lacresse (+) decrease (-)	在,在某一直完成,大人在他上有成 各种自然现在完整性用的完全的知
Departed	なるべだったいない「 の最の最高を表現の日本のは、 のののでは、 ののでは、 のでは
Admind	本 "其他是有效。" "以及人员, 第中国的国际的公司与当中国的政治的
Increme (+) or degresse (-)	文 大台上台本人之古台大街山市 東中国市区部沿西省市市沿海田市市
Departed 1923	2448425554485 244842554486 244845554486
Admissed	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Country of last emidence	

As usually happens in movements of national import, those most acrive in the interest of a measure are those least affected. The State of Connecticent, with a large foreign-born population, is naturally vitally inherented in the immigration problem. Yet Connection has raised no cry against the Italian, the Hamgarian, or the Poles as an inferior type. To be sure, there are some among their number who are not the most desirable, but of what nation or race is not the same thing true! Rescale and seamins may be found in any country, whether it be America, Italy, or Sweden. It is no just criticism of a nation to single out the exceptions and hase a critical conclusion thereon.

As one who has rubbed shoulders all his life with the immigrant from sknoot overy land, in a State where the immigrant from sknoot overy land, in a State where the immigrant from sknoot overy land, in a State where the immigrant from sknoot overy land, in a State where the immigrant shu settled in large numbers, I feel that I know something of their habits, their lives, and their desirability. Take the Italiam. Wherever he has settled, he has been industrious, law-shiding, and ambitious. He buys a little farm to till the soil; he starts a little business; or perhaps he works in the mill. But wherever he has he do not prosperity. He is ambitious for his children and their education, and thank God, he usually has pienty of them, a fact most unamplity criticized by some of our modernists, as though the bridging of little tots into the world was a class of interiority. He becomes anturnited in a comparatively short time; he tries to heacase Americantacil. His tendencies are not those of a radical. The foreign-born ponularion of Rhode Island, constaints largely of Italians and Slava, amounts to \$1.50 per cent, yet the total Socialist vote in that State at the last election was but 2.5 per cent. Wisconsia, which has a small population of the never immigration, but a large number of the Nordic stock, poled a Socialist vote in 12.1 per cent. Counseti

Federated Industries of Washington,
West Vuriala Manufacturers' Association.
Wissonala Manufacturers' Association.
Wissonala Manufacturers' Association.
American Cotton Manufacturers' Association.
American Electric Railway Association.
American Hardware Manufacturers' Association.
American Hardware Manufacturers' Association.
American Paper and Pulp Association.
American Paper and Pulp Association.
American Paper and Pulp Association.
Electrical Manufacturers' Council Institute of Makets of Explosives,
Namufacturing Chemists' Association of the United States.
National Association of Extm Equipment Manufacturers.
National Association of Finishers of Cotton Fabrics.
National Association of Finishers of Cotton Fabrics.
National Association of Sheet and Tin Piato Manufacturers (Inc.).

National Association of Sheet and Tin Plate Manufacturers (Inc.).

Sational Association of Wool Manufacturers.

National Association of Wool Manufacturers.

National Association of the United Steles (Inc.).

National Piectric Light Association,

National Industrial Council.
National Lumber Manufacturers' Association.
National Metal Trades Association. National Lumber Manuscurers' Association.

National Metal Trades Association.

Railway Car Manuscurers' Association.

Railway Car Manuscurers' Association.

Railway Car Manuscurers' Association.

Railway Car Manuscurers' Association of the United States.

United States Robber Co.

Labor Department, Michigan Sugar Co.

National Association of Manuscurers of the United States.

National Foundary Association.

Manuscurers' Association of Connecticut (Inc.).

Manuscurers' Association of Connecticut (Inc.).

Manuscurers' Association of Winnington (Del.).

Associated Industries of the Inland Empire (Idano).

Indiana Manuscurers' Association.

Associated Industries of Kanaca

Associated Industries of Kanaca

Associated Industries of Maine.

Marchants and Manuscurers' Association of Indianors.

Associated Industries of Maine.

Marchants and Manuscurers' Association of Indianors.

Associated Industries of Maine. Misrchants and Manufacturers' Association of Baltimore.

Associated Industries of Missociation.

Michigan Manufacturers' Association.

Associated Industries of Missouri.

Neurasia Manufacturers' Association.

Associated Industries of New York State (Inc.).

Ohio Manufacturers' Association.

Manufacturers and Merchaets' Association of Oregon.

Fonnsylvania Manufacturers' Association.

Employers' Association of Rhede Island.

Manufacturers and Employers' Association of South Dakota.

Tennessee Manufacturers' Association.

Ctah Associated Industries of Verment.

Virginia Manufacturers' Association.

Mr. Chairman, there is a mighty big difference between restriction and discrimination. If it is restriction we seek, you may rely on my support. The workers in our mills and factories must be protected against an influx of millions who will seek their places. But I can not agree to any policy that violates all prior adopted American ideas. With such a bill, grounded on discrimination, we do violence to traditions that lave come down to us from the fathers, and as well do we offer insult to those large groups of our citizens of the blood of sputhern Europe by proclaiming that they are of an inferior brand of human being.

But the main thought is a criticism of these sociation with

brand of human being.

But the main thought is a criticism of these societies with foreign-sounding titles, such as the Order of the Sons of Italy, which have putitioned against the passage of this bill. Since when has a man's love for this country been tested by the name of some fraternal or singing society of which he may be a member? What if these people of foreign parentage bind themselves together for beneficial reasons so long as the love of this land is not placed in jeopardy? Am I say the less an American if I, perchance, belong to some society with a name suggestive of Ireland? And who will deny my Americanism if I take pride in the glory of that land or hope for her prosperity and happiness, or if, forsooth, I hum a little Irish ditty on St. Patrick's Day, or wear a spray of shamrock?

My own humble judgment is that he lacks some element in his brake-up who does not retain some affection for the land of his foreigns.

A short journey it is from this building to Ariburton Ceme-

his forchears.

A short journey it is from this building to Ariington Cematery, where rests amid lavish appointments the body of the unknown soldier. Perhaps he was an Italian, who may have belonged to the Order of the Sons of Italy, for our Army numbered 250,000 Italians, of whom 20,000 were tilled. Is there anyone with soul so shriveled with prejudice as to lower this unknown from his mattainable plane of homoge because, for sooth, he was an Italian? Let me relate the tale of a little Italian lid, whom I had the privilege and honor of knowing. During the winter after the war I met him for the first time, He was tottering up the street, a decrepit, pathetic figure, almost lost in an oversized army exercent that seemed to swellow his whole form. He was bent almost in two, partly from pain, but mainly from his new deformities. His eyes were like burning coals; his face, while flushed, was gaunt, and furrowa wrinkled his forchead. The overcoat was intered and ragged, while the shoes he wore had failen to pieces, and at the ends you could see his toes. He told me his name was Jummy Congillino. He had been in the country a short time when the war was declared, and he had joined the army. He had been gassed, and it was most appurent he was suffering severely

from tuberculosis. He had been hit with a hit of shrappel in the back and legs, and a part of his spine had been affected. The wonder of it all was that the lad was alive to tell it. Whether it was due to his fault or to that of the Veterans' Burnu, is immaterial. The fact was that Jimmy was receiving no attention from the Government—from his Government—and he was eking out his meagar living at the hands of his good friends. But not a whimper would he utter against the delay of the Government in providing him with his due. Eventually his claim was recognized, and he received his first real money. I met him again when he was given a day off from the hospital. "Jimmy, why don't you go back to Italy, where your folks are?" I said to him. "You have nobody near to you in America, and it might do you a lot of good to go back to your country." Jimmy's English was wretched; I doubt if he could read or write. But I did understand him when he said, "Italy is a not a my countree. This a countree is a my countree. I fight for him; I die in him." Jimmy's prophecy came true, for he died shortly thereafter. He has gone to the isnul that does not discriminate against the Italian. And in the days to come when the records of loyalty to country are disclosed. I will wager that Jimmy's name will stand out with greater glory than many of those self-proclaimed perfect Americans who want to keep other Congillines from our shores, because they do not make good Americans.

Lineart an article entitled "Elght American soldiers," which carries its own moral:

ERSHY AMERICAN SOLDINAN (By Samuel McCoy)

Except Arrancas Soldinas

(By Samuel McCoy)

The heroism of the sight Americans whom I am about to name was duglicated to every one of the bundreds of regiments which were sent from America to serve in France; I name these eight men merely because their war records happen to be before me at the moment and because their war records happen to be before me at the moment and because much has been said of late in regard to the proper qualifications for American citizenskip.

Each of these men was awarded the distinguished-service cross. Twenty Objusted men who fought in the saine division to which they belonged all acquitted themselves with honor in the face of danger. A thousand non of the division were singled out to appear in the divisional citizenskip for fests of heroism performed in that campaign. But have eight were ranked even higher than all these. They were of the handful who won the distinguished-service cross—a decoration awarded only "for extraordinary heroism in action."

The first man, a sergents, in the assault lumched against the scendingly impregnable filludenburg line, "although twice wounded, refused to leave the Beld but reasoned with his platoon, exhibiting magnificant courage and bravery, until he was wounded a third time. His devotion to duty set a splendid example to the men of his company."

The second, a corporal in the same fearful fire of the enemy, "was an advance smoot for his platoon. The platoon was temporarily inhied by anothm-gnn dro, and carried a wounded diffuse to safety. In mediate front. He rushed through the heavy massing fire to the treach, and at the point of his rifle compelled 12 of the samp to surronder. He then signaled for the plantoon to advance."

The labird, also a corporal, "left sheltes, went forward under intense machine-qua fire, and carried a wounded offices to safety, in accompilating this milesion he was severely wounded."

The folink and heavy fire to reconnotize the enemy position. By effective rife fire theiry drave the guiners from two machine-gua nests i

the shril hole by members of also own company in the rear, into the enemy's lines."

The wirth, a private, "under heavy shell and machine gun fire, left the sheliter of his trench, and soing forward under a thick emoke acreen, single handed captured between 30 and 40 prisoners. " " Tures weeks later, in a second battle, after the advance of his company had been stopped by strong bostile machine-gun fire, he, with three companions, advanced far should of the front line to attack an enemy position located in a large farmhouse. By stillful maneuvering in the broad daylight they covered all cutrances to the house and forced the surrender of the cative force of the enemy, numbering 36 men and 2 officers. During the exploit they killed 2 of the enemy, who attempted to take cover in the cellar."

The seventh, a private, "exhibited exceptional bravery by leaving shelter and going into an apen field under heavy machine-gun and shell fire to rescue wounded soldiers."

The eighth man, also a private, "while the advance against the Hindenburg line was at its height, seeing an American machine guarer exposed to the enemy, ran to his assistance. On the way he was seriously wounded, but continued on, reaching the position and using his body to shield the gunner while the inter poured a fire into the enemy. He was wounded three times, finally losing consciousness, but after his wounds were dressed be insisted on leaving the field unabled."

The names of these eight American soldiers, all of whom are still living, are John N. F. Billitzhi, Lonnie J. Moscow, Aloky Nagowski, Isane Embinowitz, Epifanio Affatato, Wazyi Kalenoczyk, Daniel Moskowitz, and Antony Sciafoul.

Mr. Collegeon of Washington, Mr. Chalenan, I visid to

Mr. JOHNSON of Washington. Mr. Chairman, I yield to the gentleman from New York [Mr. Bacon], a member of the

the gentleman from New York [Mr. Bacon], a member of the committee.

Mr. Bacon. Mr. Chairman, that we are facing a crists in our policy as a people toward immigration there can be no doubt. We must determine whether the old pian in vegue before the Orent War of permitting all to come to our shores without that shall be roverted to, whether all further immigration shall be shut off, whether there shall be a reduction based upon census figures, such as in the extension of the present law, or whether some other solution shall be found.

I dars say that no question confronting the country during the entire period of its history has ever been fraught with such momentous consequences as this one of what new bloods shall be fused with our stock, what new elements shall compete with our labor, and what new points of view shall compete with our labor, and what new points of view shall compete with our labor, and what new points of view shall compete with our labor, and social ideas and ideals. The scientific results of immigration are so exact that our children and our children's children can not but enjoy or suffer from the effects of what we do at this time. Therefore it devolves upon us to consider all the facts in the broadest and most patriotic light possible. As a member of the Committee on Immigration. I have tried to approach this subject with an open wind, but solely, however, from the viewpoint of what is beet for this country of ours and for no other country. I am convinced that what is for the best interest of the United States on this immigration question may be diametrically opposed to the selfash interest of other countries.

We are the greatest immigrant-receiving country in the world to-day, and probably the greatest in history. Nearly 10,000,000 immigrants have come to our shores during the past 15 years, and during 4 of these 15 years the World War stopped intailignation entirely. The vital influence on the history of civilization of the migrations of people can not be minimized and should not be igno

not be ignored. The Secretary of Labor recently has said:

One of the prime factors in the medding of civilization after the days when the first prehistoric man preempted for his dwelling the cave of the bear that he had killed has been the nigration of peoples. Throughout the ages, wherever a given race or people has set up a strong, presperous, comfortable state of tife there have docked the through of less advanced races seeking the case of the better civilization. There is no instance in all history since the Goths, starwing and in danger of extinction by their enemies, succeeded in begaing their way into the homan Empire, which does not demonstrate that soon or late the lamingrant people overthrow the older civilization. This has not been accomplished by force or by armed invasion. In almost very instance great civilizations have perished through peaceful people and the second of allows who were admitted to do the work of the community. In some cases they drifted in an free labor, many entered as slaves, or as soldiery in the employ of the higher civilization. In the higher civilization by the infiltrating aliens.

But few of these migrations of the past have been characterised by great morements of population in whort periods of time. Only some 200,000 Goths were in the original group which the Emperor Valena accepted as residents of Ray. There has never been in the history of all mankind a like movement of peoples of the magnitude of the last century and a baif.

Every nation, at least of Europe, is an emigrant-sending the last century and a baif.

Every nation, at least of Europe, is an emigrant-sending country. There you have at once the great clash of divergent interests. Every foreign country and every foreign representative here in Washington is watching the debate on this bill with intense interest. It belooves Americans to watch also. We can be sympathetic to Europe's efforts to solve some of their problems by encouraging their people to come to this country, but we must never loss sight of the fact that this is an American problem and only an American problem. Unless we in this country look after ourselves and our own interests no one will do it for us.